



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,619	11/15/1999	HANS SEITER		6961

7590 12/14/2001

FELIX J D'AMBROSIO
JONES TULLAR & COOPER
PO BOX 2266 EADS STATION
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

ARNOLD III, TROY G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3728

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/423,619

Applicant(s)

Selter

Examiner

Troy Arnold

Group Art Unit

3728



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Troy Arnold

(3) _____

(2) Mr Felix D'Ambrosio, Attorney For The Applicant

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 7, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 26

Identification of prior art discussed:

Pendergast, US patent No. 4,633,877.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Structure of cushioned layers as claimed in claim 26 was discussed. Examiner noted that Pendergast teaches cushioned layers, as claimed in claim 26, as do Fenton and Alianello. Examiner indicated that the clinical studies papers submitted by the Applicant were considered, but were not given as much weight as the distinct structural limitations in the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mickey Yu
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.